Video Surveillance Architecture from the Cloud to the Edge

Jeff McCann^{*} Dell Technologies and University of Limerick, Limerick, IRELAND

> Liam Quinn[†] Dell Technologies, Austin, Texas, USA

Sean McGrath[‡] and Colin Flanagan[‡] University of Limerick, IRELAND

Abstract

This paper examines the use of digital video in public safety and surveillance systems. Traditionally video recordings are used by law enforcement to review events retrospectively and for evidential purposes in the pursuance of criminal prosecution. We also examine how, due to the proliferation of cameras around cities, human operators are challenged to monitor these data feeds in real-time and how the emergence of AI and computer vision solutions can process this data. Computer vision can enable the move from a purely reactive to a predictive, real-time analysis platform. As camera numbers and the resolution and framerate of cameras grow, existing infrastructure network frequently causes challenges provisioning low latency, high bandwidth networking to private or public cloud infrastructure for evidential storage. These technical challenges can provide issues for law enforcement providing a data chain of custody to ensure its admissibility during court proceedings. Emerging technologies offer solutions to overcome these challenges: the use of emerging edge compute capabilities, including the use of on-camera and mobile edge compute nodes providing compute capabilities closer to the data source and new software paradigms, including CI/CD methodologies, and the use of micro-services and containerization to manage and deliver applications across the portfolio of devices, at the edge of the network. Using Amazon Web Services as an example, we review how cloud providers are now overcoming challenges in delivering real time video analytics solutions in the classical cloud model, and how they are enabling services and platforms closer to the edge, while delivering the cloud computing experience of scalability and manageability across different edges of the network.

Key Words: Surveillance, edge, CCTV, video analysis, cloud management, SaaS, PaaS, Amazon web services, AWS.

1 Introduction

The use of closed-circuit television systems (CCTV) has its roots in the 1940s, with the first documented use of CCTV systems in Durham, UK, in 1956 [95]. This system enabled a police officer to monitor and operate traffic lights. The use of cameras in law enforcement has been, to date, mainly for evidential purposes, with data stored and then manually reviewed post-incident by CCTV operators. The migration from magnetic tape recordings to digital media stored on centralized computer systems has enabled the deployment of surveillance cameras at a much higher density than would have been possible previously.

The challenges of transporting data to the cloud for processing have long been acknowledged as problematic, especially for large datasets such as streaming video. On cloud platforms, Network latency is the primary challenge to processing streaming data in real-time [2]. Numerous methods of moving compute closer to the data source have been proposed to alleviate this latency, including Fog[9], Cloudlets [76] and Edge computing. Lin, et al. [50] discuss the difference between edge and fog computing: "edge computing builds the architecture of computing at the edge, while fog computing uses edge computing and further defines the network connection over edge devices, edge servers, and the cloud." These edge devices can provide traditional CPU and accelerator compute capabilities to enable computer vision code to run on resourceconstrained edge devices. On-camera compute already provides significant bandwidth reductions in several use cases including motion detection and automatic number plate recognition[57]. The camera then only returns metadata, along with an evidential photograph of a speeding car, rather than a full video stream from the cameras to be processed in the cloud. By processing on the camera, both network traffic and the amount of storage required in the system[32] are reduced compared to traditional evidential recording platforms. To deliver timely, predictive and proactive computer vision analytics platforms, the design of conventional evidential recording systems needs to be reviewed to move the compute capabilities closer to the source of the data.

^{*} Director, Customer Solution Centers, Email: jeff_mccann@dell.com, Jeffrey.Mccann@ul.ie.

[†] Senior Fellow, Dell Technologies, Email: liam_quinn@dell.com.
‡ Senior Lecturers, Department of Electronic and Computer Engineering, Email: sean.mcgrath@ul.ie, colin.flanagan@ul.ie.

2 Evidential Recording Platforms

To provide evidence for law enforcement agencies after an incident and provide a chain of custody of video footage to be used in prosecutions, Digital (DVR) or Network (NVR) video recording systems provide a platform to deploy and manage the cameras and storage of the data they create. The systems also include management structures for the stored data to ensure storing, access and deletion according to legal data governance requirements. Centrally managed digital surveillance systems have several key platform components, described in Figure 1. cameras movement controls [14] without the need for secondary cabling. Cameras connect to local area network (LAN) switches, which can also act as power sourcing equipment to provide power and data to cameras via one cable, using IEEE 802.3a(x) standards [60]. The use of Wi-Fi for surveillance systems to connect static cameras, using IEEE 802.11 is used in limited circumstances but provides range, reliability, and security challenges for critical systems. [23], but Wi-Fi and 4G cellular connectivity are widely utilized for body-worn and mobile/vehicle cameras[54]; however, these devices frequently have localized storage to overcome



Figure 1: Evidential recording infrastructure

2.1 Cameras

The first digital IP cameras became commercially available in 1996, with the release of Axis Communications Neteye 200 camera [25], which supported a resolution of 352x288 pixels at a frame rate of 1 frame per second (FPS) in JPEG format [10]. Currently, the most popular resolution for digital surveillance systems is full HD (1920x1080), producing uncompressed data Using H.264/AVC streams of up to 1.5Gbit/S [28]. compression reduces this data stream by up to 70%. As H.264/AVC is an asymmetric process, with more compute required at the encoder than at the decoder [40], onboard microprocessors in cameras have evolved in parallel with the image sensor capabilities, with CPU, GPU & FGPA capabilities or by a specialist Digital Signal Processor (DSPs) [62]. Alongside compression, the compute capabilities also provide remote management capabilities, essential for large suites of cameras. The ONVIF [63] specification for camera management is included in published standards, such as IEC 62676, for Video Surveillance Systems.

2.2 Network

Digital surveillance cameras have standardized TCP/IP over ethernet and generally use IEEE standard 802.3u (Fast Ethernet/ 100Base-T). As TCP/IP is bi-directional, it also enables the management and manipulation of Point, Tilt & Zoom (PTZ) connectivity issues and limited recording periods due to battery charge longevity [33]. Backhaul to the datacenter is dependent upon each installation, with fully private fiber networks utilized in very high-security environments or built upon virtual private networks (VPN) provided by 3rd party telecom providers, with networking and security capabilities such as NAT, Firewalls, and VPN Tunnels used to protect the transmission of the data. [18] The ESTI standard for TErrestrial Trunked RAdio (TETRA) provides a data carrier protocol, with up to 600mbps throughput, to provide fully encrypted, secure communications but requires a separate infrastructure for broadcasting capabilities, aside from regular telco operated environments. One of the significant examples of the use of TETRA in surveillance was its use at the Athens Olympics, where feeds from live CCTV cameras were broadcast via Tetra to security/law enforcement officers handsets on the ground [75].

2.3 Datacenter

The core of all video surveillance systems is the network video recording (NVR) system, with leading providers including Milestone Systems, Avigilon, Bosch, Huawei and Genetec [26]. The NVR provides a range of features, including management of the cameras, storage management, including writing data to storage, and managing data, ensuring timely deletion, the chain of custody reporting, and access for users to review the recorded footage.

Servers are predominantly Intel x86 platforms, with many NVR providers using Microsoft Windows[©] Server or Linux operating systems. Depending on the scale of requirements, storage may be anything from a single hard disk to a complete server & storage area network configuration, as shown in

Figure 2 below. Storage Area Networks (SAN) provide network-attached storage, using iSCSI, or Fiber-channel over IP connectivity with Redundant Array of Inexpensive Disks (RAID) offering fault-tolerant, highly scalable storage platforms for storage and data throughput capabilities [90]. Disk configuration is dependent on several factors from the dataflow: the number of cameras, frame resolution & speed; motion detection; compression algorithms; the number of days storage, expected activity levels in the cameras [20, 48] and the hardware in the SAN, including the number of disks, IOPs for each disk, RAID or other redundancy/data protection systems and SAN processor speed.

2.4 Users

Users require a method of accessing the stored data, either from individual cameras or in Command & Control walls using multiple screens, with thumbnail streaming video images of multiple cameras displaying concurrently. This gives the user the ability to observe many screens simultaneously, and to click into one of the thumbnails to maximize screens of interest. The NVR software also provides the user with methods to view historical material and protect the material of interest against video feeds in real-time. Alongside the growth of surveillance systems, the rise of computer vision technologies built upon research in artificial intelligence (AI) has provided the building blocks for video analysis. Using large previously labelled sets of data to train the convolutional neural networks (CNN) [79, 92] built upon deep neural networks (DNN) [72] before deployment to analyze real-time video feeds. AI-enabled video analysis provides evidential data and provides opportunities for law enforcement to offer proactive capabilities using motion detection, facial recognition, individual and crowd behavior analysis.

The software stack must provide the ability to allow developers to build scalable, manageable software platforms that can be remotely managed. Microservices container-based platforms such as Docker, Openstack and container management such as Kubernetes [46] and K3S [64] for resource-constrained hardware provide the infrastructure and management layers. Open-source toolkits such as YoLo provide a convolutional network framework for image recognition, [100] and Edge-X from the Linux Foundation provides an IIoT platform framework, to enable this scalability.

One of the challenges called out by Sada, et al. [73] in edge video analysis is the fragmentation of the original inference model across edge devices. They propose a federated learning platform for CNN across edge devices. Li, et al. [47] describe federated analytics as "decentralized privacy-preserving technology to overcome challenges of data silos and data sensibility." Deng, et al. [21] propose a federated system using



Figure 2: NVR architecture

overwriting by the NVR storage management schedule. User feeds are delivered to a proprietary application running on a personal computer or via HTTP/s web browser. NVR Manufacturers recommend that the user workstation provide substantial processing power, both from the CPU and Graphics Processing Unit (GPU), with 8GB RAM and a 64-bit Windows operating system, to deliver a satisfactory user experience significant numbers of camera feeds on screen [6, 59].

3 Video Analysis Platforms

The UK has led the global growth of surveillance [80], with over 500,000 cameras in London and 15,000 on the Underground alone. Research shows that video surveillance was useful to investigators in some 29% of crimes committed on the British transport systems [5]. With the proliferation of cameras for surveillance purposes, it is impossible to monitor neural networks spanning from the video cameras to mobile edge compute capabilities and an edge optimization capability, thereby optimizing latency and accuracy of queries to a video analytics system.

As camera resolution increases, H.264/AVC becomes less efficient, and H.265/HEVC provides a decrease the size of the bitstream by at least 50% compared to H.264/AVC, whilst supporting resolutions up to 8192x4320 with equivalent quality to H.264 [74, 87]. Tan, et al. [89] report up to 64% H.265/HEVC Bitrate deduction vs H.264/AVC for the same resolutions. H.265/HEVC does come with increased computational overheads. Sullivan, et al. [87] estimate that with more modern computing capabilities, the 40% increase in processing requirements over H.264/AVC for encoding is not a significant constraint for new equipment, but the existing install base of cameras will continue to use H.264/AVC due to compute constraints of the hardware [43].

3.1 Cloud Video Analytics

Alam, et al. [1] discusses the benefits of cloud computing and its ability to deliver platform, software, and infrastructure as a service to users. Research has identified several areas of challenges to processing streaming video analysis in the cloud. Three of the major industries using computer vision are autonomous vehicles, manufacturing and sport [51]. Mach and Becvar [56] identify some challenges of cloud computing. These technical challenges can be aligned into three main areas: connectivity, latency and security [27]. They are well documented in different vertical industries, as identified in1 Table 1.

Research from the challenges associated with cloud processing of data has focused on moving compute closer to the data source and has resulted in the emergence of edge computing capabilities. Sunyaev [88] reviews the emergence of edge computing and identifies the key goals these platforms aim to provide, overcoming the challenges posed by processing workloads in the cloud. Areas of focus for edge computing are around the hardware platforms, connectivity, and management of software to these remote devices, and the use of artificial intelligence algorithms within the software to undertake computer vision workloads. With emerging connectivity capabilities offered by 5G and the evolution of Mobile Edge Compute (MEC), new workload management platforms for edge compute such as Docker (with Kubernetes management for large deployments across edge devices), the ability to process streaming data at the edge is moving forward. Zhou, et al. [99] review the capabilities of edge platforms for AI Models to run at the edge: "hardware acceleration technologies, such as field-programmable gate arrays (FPGAs), graphical processing units (GPUs)". Research by Najafi, et al. [61] suggests Application-specific integrated circuits (ASICs) offer significant promise for accelerating video analysis edge computing, and the use of smart network interface cards

Table 1: Cloud computing challenges

(SmartNiC) enables the offload of tasks from the computing platform. Emerging technologies, such as neuromorphic computing, look to overcome some challenges traditional edge hardware platforms are constrained by[58].

Cloud providers, such as Amazon Web Services, provide solutions across the globe, and in different Regions, to ensure that data sovereignty requirements can be maintained [3].

3.2 Edge Video Analytics

Moving the analytical processing of the image closer to the camera, or even onto it, can increase the performance of a system. This is especially evident where connectivity is limited or unreliable, or information from the processed data is deemed to be time-sensitive and is to be consumed at the edge, for example, real-time management of relays for complex traffic light systems. Processing can be either on the camera, Mobile Edge Compute platforms, or the cloud. The hardware required to enable a computer vision system has several separate components, outlined in Table 2, from the compute on the camera delivering specific tasks such as ANPR or motion detection using CNNs, or edge compute devices, the use of MEC to analyze data from multiple local cameras, backend cloud platform compute capabilities, with access to historical data sets for deep learning algorithms to process, the latency of the networks, and the compute capacity, in terms of memory and processor capabilities at each node in the infrastructure all play a role in identifying where the most efficient location to undertake the compute.

3.3 On Camera

Shi and Lichman [84] discuss cameras with "Application Specific Information Processing". The inbuilt microprocessors used to run code for specific purposes, such as motion and object detection, provide data to automated control systems.

Connectivity	Sporadically connected devices and the use of streaming video data (along with lidar and radar) in autonomous vehicles to enable object detection[4], platooning [55], or to enable parking in cities [68] require reliable connectivity to the cloud. Environments with high levels of radio interference, such as manufacturing facilities [53] provide challenges to connect to cloud infrastructures.
Latency	Autonomous vehicles are highly dependent on reliable, low-latency communication, with round trip response times of under 100ms required due to the high speeds of the vehicles, especially in the realms of object detection and avoidance, and in interaction with other vehicles, such as intersection management[42]. The use of cloud analysis in the area of sports analysis, to provide statistics that can be used for presentation purposes [82] is well established. The framerate required by cameras to enable Goal Line monitoring requires localized compute to provide the referee with timely and accurate analysis and information [86]. Wireless connectivity using LTE and Wi-Fi [85] to the cloud also presents challenges where sub 100ms response times are required.
Security	The security of data flowing to the cloud, both in transit and at the final location are concerns for many cloud-based platforms. In Healthcare, patient confidentiality and protection of Individually Identifiable Health information is enshrined in standards (HIPPA, GDPR etc.) [22]. Liu, et al. [52] discusses the security requirements in vehicle to everything (V2X) autonomous vehicles in the realm of safety as the backbone to all autonomous vehicle systems.

Table 2: Processing matrix in machine vision systems



The benefits of onboard processing can reduce the bandwidth required to transmit the data from many megabits to several bytes, denoting motion or object detected. The first use of onboard compute within a camera was in the area of motion detection. While compression algorithms identify activity for prediction purposes, motion detection is used to determine the movement within the camera's view and trigger an action when Sehairi, et al. [81] identified three separate identified. categories of motion detection: Background Subtraction, Temporal Difference and Optical flow techniques, and evaluated the effectiveness of the differing algorithms. Challenges such as bad weather, thermal changes, vibration etc. [39] can cause difficulties for motion detection. Large bodies of work exist exploring the areas of false positive and false negative identification of motion detection. [82] As part of the processing of motion detection, cameras also allow for the masking of images. Masking allows regions of the image not of interest to the operator to be eliminated from processing, saving time and compute. [70] Automatic Numberplate Recognition (ANPR) or License Plate Recognition (LPR) have been in use extensively since the early 2000s [94]. They are based on Optical Character Recognition performed on video captured and streamed to a central video management system. Jeffrey, et al. [38] discuss the use of ARM-based processors and FPGAs to undertake ANPR on-camera analysis. With the increasing compute power on the camera, ANPR enabled camera algorithms can now provide descriptive feedback across the network (i.e., the number plate details), rather than just the video stream that would have to be further processed. Farhat, et al. [24] demonstrated that a Zynq-7000 programmable system on a chip (SoC) within a camera could provide ANPR recognition with a success rate of 99.5%, and with a power consumption rate 80% less than that of a Intel PC based platform undertaking the same calculations. Apostolo, et al. [4] discuss the use of video analytics to control Pan-Tilt-Zoom (PTZ) functions on cameras, allowing enabling real time actuation of PTZ functions, allowing tracking of objects of interest, enabling an active, "human-out-of-the-loop" automation of the camera functions.

discussed in 2009 [77], using virtual machines to provide 'Cloudlets' close to a 'thin' or mobile client which has limited computing capabilities [76]. The evolution of Edge computing led to ETSI launching a Mobile Edge Compute (MEC) working group in 2015 [4] with a goal to "...enable ultra-low-latency requirements as well as a rich computing environment for value-added services closer to end users." [31] MEC is a key component in the promise of high speed, low latency Massive IoT (MIOT) platforms described in 3GPP 5G Release 16 [30]. Baek, et al. [11] discuss 3GPP R16 and the use of mmWave [78] and MEC to provide ultra-reliable and low-latency communications (URLLC) and massive-input, massive-output (MIMO) capabilities, enabling sensor densities of up to one million sensors per square kilometer. This low latency, highspeed connectivity [65] is critical for the effective delivery of emerging technologies such as traffic management and collision avoidance systems in robotic and autonomous systems. Interlinked with the platform and communications, research into the real time processing of video streams has developed, and the emerging use of artificial intelligence (AI) for the extraction of information from streaming video. Xu, et al. [98] discuss the challenges of running AI-based video analytics on resource-constrained edge devices, such as CCTV cameras. Research into the use of deep learning algorithms [93] and federated analytics [49] are currently at the forefront of computing research. Deploying these models to the edge requires significant computing power [73]. Edge computing devices are evolving in terms of CPU, accelerators [61] and SmartNiC providing offload of networking functions [37], are enabling more complex workloads to run on edge compute platforms.

Figure 3 demonstrates the locations of the compute aspects of a surveillance system, including the on-camera, localized edge compute and MEC in 5G environments, but also the analytics backend platform, providing meta-analysis across the system, but also uses the combined datasets to train the algorithms for use at the edge, improving accuracy and ensuring that federated systems do not become fragmented, due to differing datasets flowing through the DNN.

3.4 Mobile Edge Compute

Processing IoT data closer to the data source was first

4 Moving Cloud to the Edge

Cloud providers, such as Amazon Web Services (AWS),



Figure 3: Edge compute

Google Cloud Platform (GCP), Microsoft Azure and VMWare Cloud, provide platform as a service (PaaS) and Software as a Service (SaaS) cloud computing platforms global availability zones across the globe. AWS, provides SaaS video analysis platform, Amazon Recognition Video. Amazon Recognition is a machine learning based video analysis platform that can be used for video analytics, including facial recognition, designed to analyze streaming video data in conjunction with AWS in real time [29].

AWS divides their cloud based platforms into physically different geographical regions, to overcome the challenges of connectivity, latency, security 37] and data sovereignty [3] with over two hundred data centers globally, AWS offers users the ability to deploy virtual machines, and SaaS platforms in twenty six separate global zones, as shown in Figure 4.

While the geographic regions provide the capabilities to ensure data sovereignty requirements be provided for, especially where PII information such as surveillance and security video footage is being processed, AWS also currently offers eighty four availability zones [8] across these geographic regions, to reduce latency between the edge and the cloud compute capabilities [12].

Despite these regions and zones, Chen and Ran [17] discuss video frame transmission latency to an AWS cloud to execute a computer vision process can take upwards of 200ms, depending on the proximity of the regional datacenter. Rao, et al. [71] suggest that the 100ms+ round trip processing time experienced in classical cloud models cannot meet the sub 10ms requirements posed for low latency video analysis using inference engines.

Cloud providers want to deliver a cloud experience to users, with consistent programming interfaces for application, consistent operations no matter where their code is executed, and a scalable, reliable platform to deliver video surveillance and analytics platforms on. The global cloud providers are building and deploying new platform models, to enable them to offer cloud services closer to or at the customers edge, where the video data is being created, with the goals to reduce latency, increase security and reliability and ensure legal data management policies such as GDRP/HIPPA etc. are delivered within the offering.

4.1 Local Datacenters

While having local regions globally will overcome issues with data sovereignty, the WAN network can cause challenges, depending on the infrastructure, bandwidth and utilization of the networks connecting the metropolitan centers to the regional cloud-based datacenters in the system.

To reduce potential latency, AWS are providing Local Zones, AWS instances that are close to metropolitan and industrial zones, to reduce the latency of the WAN [34], by bringing the compute capabilities closer to the user. Customers can then extend their existing Virtual Private Cloud (VPC) to this local zone [67], to manage the entire AWS platform as one virtual platform.

Koch and Hao [49] review the use of AWS Lambda platform and document the difference the number of network hops and distance the user is from the AWS instance. They identify that the AWS Local Zones platform performs significantly better with large data streams, than using the regional AWS cloud platforms.

Providing a reduced set of AWS services, AWS Local Zones are available currently in seventeen cities in the USA, but plans to launch local zones in thirty two cities across twenty six countries in the near future [7].

4.2 Cellular Service Provider Datacenters

As 5G infrastructure is deployed, the high speed, low latency from edge devices to the cellular network will reduce the



Figure 4: AWS regions [1]

challenge of latency significantly, with 3GPP URLLC specifications proposing end to end latencies of sub 5ms, not including the backhaul across the internet to the cloud platform providing the video analytics platform [36]. AWS Wavelength Zones have been designed to be physically hosted in Cellular Service Providers (CSP) local datacenters as a shared user MEC platform, on which users can run PaaS and SaaS workloads in these CSPs, while being able to manage the applications using the AWS management suite - without the data having to leave the CSPs network. This removes the latency of connections from the CSP to the AWS cloud platform. AWS Wavelength Zones are connected via high speed, low latency networks directly to the AWS regional core infrastructure using Amazon VPC to enable backhaul to other centrally hosted applications on AWS infrastructure, and carrier gateways to allow connectivity from the user application running on the Wavelength Zone access to the CSPs 5G network, or directly to the internet.

The goal of the Wavelength Zone is to provide a limited range of S3 virtual machine configurations compared to the regional or local accessibility zones, with one of these offerings being a platform designed specifically for ML and video analytics workloads.

Premkumar and Bhandari [69] discuss the use of AWS Wavelength with Verizon CSP, and demonstrates significant reduction in time taken to process streaming video while connected to a Verizon 5G network, when using AWS Wavelength, compared to the same code running on a traditional AWS S3 cloud node.

There are currently few AWS Wavelength Zones globally, with five separate CSPs, as listed in Table 3, however Amazon estimates that 34% of all mobile data traffic will move to 5G by 2030 and is aggressively deploying Wavelength Zones platforms to CSPs globally.

4.3 On Premise

The ease of use and manageability of Cloud platforms such as AWS is a key reason for users to select the 'As a Service' model. AWS provides a fully managed, dedicated on-premise offering to customers, called AWS Outposts. AWS Outposts can scale from a 1U rack server, to full 42U Racks of equipment, as shown in Figure 5. Using AWS Outposts, the customer only pays for the resource utilization as an operational expense, rather than the traditional capital expenditure required to deploy traditional IT enterprise platforms. Outposts is built on the same hardware platforms as deployed in the AWS regional and local datacenters globally, to ensure exactly the same hardware performance on prem or in the cloud. Other benefits also include the ability to manage and deploy workloads using the same AWS services and APIs available in the cloud, and manage these centrally across multiple sites [19]. As the platform is onsite, latency is reduced, but for mission critical and sensitive datasets such as law enforcement, surveillance and pharmaceutical research, data never leaves the confines of the user, overcoming concerns of hosting data Table 3: AWS wavelength zones¹

		Wavelength
CSP	Region	Zone
Bell	Canada	Toronto
Verizon	US East (N. Virginia)	Boston
Verizon	US East (N. Virginia)	Atlanta
Verizon	US East (N. Virginia)	Washington DC
Verizon	US East (N. Virginia)	New York City
Verizon	US East (N. Virginia)	Miami
Verizon	US East (N. Virginia)	Dallas
Verizon	US East (N. Virginia)	Houston
Verizon	US East (N. Virginia)	Chicago
Verizon	US East (N. Virginia)	Charlotte
Verizon	US East (N. Virginia)	Detroit
Verizon	US East (N. Virginia)	Minneapolis
Verizon	US West (Oregon)	San Francisco
Verizon	US West (Oregon)	Las Vegas
Verizon	US West (Oregon)	Denver
Verizon	US West (Oregon)	Seattle
Verizon	US West (Oregon)	Phoenix
Verizon	US West (Oregon)	Los Angeles
KDDI	Asia Pacific (Tokyo)	Tokyo
KDDI	Asia Pacific (Tokyo)	Osaka
SK Telecom	Asia Pacific (Seoul)	Daejeon
Vodafone	Europe (London)	London
Vodafone	Europe (Frankfurt)	Dortmund
Vodafone	Europe (Frankfurt)	Berlin
Vodafone	Europe (Frankfurt)	Munich

in the cloud [35]. While the data is hosted locally, there are connections to the AWS cloud required, both for of the



Figure 5: AWS outposts rack

¹ [9] AWS. (2022, ¹/₄). *AWS Wavelength Zones*. Available: https://aws.amazon.com/wavelength/location.

workloads, and also for AWS to provide out of band

management for the underlying infrastructure onsite, which may create security concerns and attack vectors for some customers [96].

Microsoft Azure can also extend cloud based runtime environments to a local on premise deployment using Azure Stack Edge platform, and Azure Video Analyzer software [97]. Microsoft supply and support the hardware in the same way as AWS Outposts, but users also have the option to supply certified hardware from vendors such as Dell Technologies and HPE [16], and use the cloud based Azure platform to then manage the workloads on the Azure edge platform.

4.4 Sporadically Connected / Disconnected Systems

The one thing in common with all cloud-based platforms, is the requirement to have a reliable internet connection with the capacity and latency to deliver and enable the workloads required, and on premise, or local instantiations of cloud-based platforms have similar requirements. For edge systems that have sporadic, or no connectivity to the internet, a different regime is required, especially where the user wants to leverage the capabilities cloud computing can offer. AWS Snow range of devices are ruggedized hardware platforms, originally designed to be used to transport large amounts of data from local datacenters to an AWS facility, for ingestion into an AWS Cloud VPC, but AWS Snowball also offers the capability of running Amazon Machine Images, with workloads pre-loaded [before being sent into the field. This allows machine learning and video analytics models to be ran offline, outside of the datacenter [91].



Figure 6: AWS snowball

Pawloski, et al. [66] demonstrated the use of AWS Snowball platform to provide off-grid, ruggedized compute capabilities, in which the workloads could be loaded onto the system before deployment, for use in areas of natural or man-made disasters, where reliable internet connectivity may be sporadic, or not available.

5 Conclusions

The use of edge compute capabilities, combined with modern coding and management capabilities, can overcome challenges with network latency and enable real-time, preventative surveillance solutions for law enforcement. The reduction in compute cost and the emergence of lightweight neural network algorithms for computer vision can allow resource-constrained edge compute nodes to deliver an accurate analysis of streaming data in a timely manner.

The emergence of data-focused wireless technologies such as 5G, with mobile edge compute capabilities built into the core design of the networks to provide ultra-low latency analysis of the video data, will drive more analysis out of the central and cloud data centers. Moving these compute capabilities closer to the source of the data on edge devices will provide benefits to deliver surveillance solutions. The removal of latency due to network backhaul to cloud platforms will improve decision making processes locally in time-critical applications, such as facial recognition for law enforcement. Cloud providers are providing new capabilities combining the ease of deployment and management of workloads in the cloud, on platforms at, or closer to the edge of the network. Emerging technologies provide the capability to analyze the video stream at the edge using autonomous decision making provided by neural network algorithms to decide when data should be transmitted. These capabilities can enable proactive interaction and intervention by users or for evidential purposes.

References

- A. Alam, I. Ullah, and Y.-K. Lee, "Video Big Data Analytics in the Cloud: A Reference Architecture, Survey, Opportunities, and Open Research Issues," *IEEE* Access, 8:152377-152422, 2020.
- [2] A. Aliyu, A. H. Abdullah, O. Kaiwartya, S. H. H. Madni, U. M. Joda, A. Ado, and M. Tayyabl, "Mobile Cloud Computing: Taxonomy and Challenges," *Journal of Computer Networks and Communications*, 2020:10, 2020.
- [3] L. Amoore, "Cloud Geographies: Computing, Data, Sovereignty," *Progress in Human Geography*, 42(1):4-24, 2018.
- [4] G. H. Apostolo, P. Bauszat, V. Nigade, H. E. Bal, and L. Wang," Live Video Analytics as a Service, "*Proceedings* of the 2nd European Workshop on Machine Learning and Systems, pp. 37-44, 2022.
- [5] M. P. Ashby, "The Value of CCTV Surveillance Cameras as an Investigative Tool: An Empirical Analysis," *European Journal on Criminal Policy and Research*, 23(3):441-459, 2017.
- [6] Avigilon, System Requirements Avigilon Control Center Software, Available: https://www.avigilon.com/ products/acc/system-requirements, 2020.
- [7] AWS, AWS Local Zones Locations. Available: https://aws.amazon.com/about-aws/globalinfrastructure/localzones/locations/?nc=sn&loc=3. 4/5 2022.
- [8] AWS. "AWS Regions & Availability Zones," Available: https://aws.amazon.com/about-aws/globalinfrastructure/regions az/, 04/05, 2022.
- [9] AWS, AWS Wavelength Zones. Available: https://aws.amazon.com/wavelength/locations/, 1/4, 2022.

- [10] Axis, Axis NetEye 200, Available: https://www.axis.com/ files/datasheet/200/neteye_200_ds.pdf, 1/4, 1996.
- [11] S. Baek, D. Kim, M. Tesanovic, and A. Agiwal, "3GPP New Radio Release 16: Evolution of 5G for Industrial Internet of Things," *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 59(1):41-47, 2021.
- [12] F. Bonomi, R. Milito, J. Zhu, and S. Addepalli, "Fog Computing and Its Role in the Internet of Things," *Proceedings of the First Edition of the MCC Workshop on Mobile Cloud Computing*, pp. 13-16, 2012.
- [13] S. Borge and N. Poonia, "Review on amazon web services, google cloud provider and microsoft windows azure," *Advance and Innovative Research*, p. 53, 2020.
- [14] G. Di Caterina, I. Hunter, and J. J. Soraghan, "An Embedded Smart Surveillance System for Target Tracking Using a PTZ Camera," *4th European Education and Research Conference (EDERC 2010)*, IEEE, pp. 165-169, 2010.
- [15] A. Caulfield, P. Costa, and M. Ghobadi, "Beyond SmartNICs: Towards a Fully Programmable Cloud," 2018 IEEE 19th International Conference on High Performance Switching and Routing (HPSR), IEEE, pp. 1-6, 2018.
- [16] H. Chawla and H. Kathuria, "Building Microservices Applications on Azure Stack," *Building Microservices Applications on Microsoft Azure*: Springer, pp. 245-255, 2019.
- [17] J. Chen and X. Ran, "Deep Learning With Edge Computing: A Review," Proc. IEEE, 107(8):1655-1674, 2019.
- [18] R. Dautov, S. Distefano, D. Bruneo, F. Longo, G. Merlino, A. Puliafito, and R. Buyya, "Metropolitan Intelligent Surveillance Systems for Urban Areas by Harnessing IoT and Edge Computing Paradigms," *Software: Practice and Experience*, 48(8):1475-1492, 2018.
- [19] M. Deb and A. Choudhury, "Hybrid Cloud: A New Paradigm in Cloud Computing," *Machine Learning Techniques and Analytics for Cloud Security*, pp. 1-23, 2021.
- [20] Dell_Technologies, Dell EMC Streaming Data Platform, Available: https://www.delltechnologies.com/ enus/storage/streaming-data-platform.htm, 03-19, 2020.
- [21] Y. Deng, T. Han, and N. Ansari, "FedVision: Federated Video Analytics With Edge Computing," *IEEE Open Journal of the Computer Society*, 1:62-72, 2020.
- [22] A. A. Elgujja, "Impact of Information Technology on Patient Confidentiality Rights: A Perspective," Impacts of Information Technology on Patient Care and Empowerment: IGI Global, pp. 365-387, 2020.
- [23] O. Elharrouss, N. Almaadeed, and S. Al-Maadeed, "A Review of Video Surveillance Systems," *Journal of Visual Communication and Image Representation*, 77:103116, 2021.
- [24] A. Farhat, O. Hommos, A. Al-Zawqari, A. Al-Qahtani, F. Bensaali, A. Amira, and X Zhai, "Optical Character Recognition on Heterogeneous SoC for HD Automatic Number Plate Recognition System," *EURASIP Journal on*

Image and Video Processing, 2018(1):58, 2018.

- [25] M. Fayaz and D. Kim, "An Effective Control Method of IP Camera Based on Fuzzy Logic and Statistical Moments," *International Journal of Control and Automation*, 10:97-108, 2017.
- [26] S. Feldstein, *The Global Expansion of AI Surveillance*, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, Washington, DC, 2019.
- [27] M. Firdhous, O. Ghazali, and S. Hassan, "Fog Computing: Will It be the Future of Cloud Computing?," The Third International Conference on Informatics & Applications (ICIA 2014), 20-14.
- [28] W. Fischer, "Video Coding (MPEG-2, MPEG-4/AVC, HEVC), " Digital Video and Audio Broadcasting Technology, Springer, pp. 125-175, 2020.
- [29] D. V. Ganesan, S. Das, T. Kundu, J. Naren, and S. Bushra, "Deep Learning based Smart Survilance Robot," 2021.
- [30] A. Ghosh, A. Maeder, M. Baker, and D. Chandramouli, "5G Evolution: A View on 5G CellularTechnology beyond 3GPP Release 15," *IEEE Access*, 7:127639-127651, 2019.
- [31] F. Giust, X. Costa-Perez, and A. Reznik, "Multi-Access Edge Computing: An Overview of ETSI MEC ISG," *IEEE 5G Tech Focus*, 1(4):4, 2017.
- [32] S. Hadavi, H. B. Rai, S. Verlinde, H. Huang, C. Macharis, and T. Guns, "Analyzing Passenger and Freight Vehicle Movements from Automatic-Number Plate Recognition Camera Data," *European Transport Research Review*, 12:1-17, 2020.
- [33] F. Henry, "Review of Ferguson, Andrew Guthrie, 2017," The Rise of Big Data Policing: Surveillance, Race, and the Future of Law Enforcement, New York: New York University Press, 259 pages, Paperback ISBN: 9781479892822, Ed: Springer, 2018.
- [34] M. Herson and K. King, "Remote Innovations on the Cloud," SMPTE Motion Imaging Journal, 130(8):98-100, 2021.
- [35] J. Hildén, "Mitigating the Risk of US Surveillance for Public Sector Services in the Cloud," *Internet Policy Review*, 10(3):1-24, 2021.
- [36] E. Iradier, A. Abuin, L. Fanari, J. Montalban, and P. Angueira, "Throughput, Capacity and Latency Analysis of P-NOMA RRM Schemes in 5G URLLC," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, pp. 1-23, 2021.
- [37] H. Iqbal, A. Singh, and M. Shahzad, "Characterizing the Availability and Latency in AWS Network From the Perspective of Tenants," *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking*, 2022.
- [38] Z. Jeffrey, X. Zhai, F. Bensaali, R. Sotudeh, and A. Ariyaeeinia, "Automatic Number Plate Recognition System on an ARM-DSP and FPGA Heterogeneous SoC Platforms," 2013 IEEE Hot Chips 25 Symposium (HCS), IEEE, pp. 1-9, 2013.
- [39] H. Jung, J. Ju, W. Hwang, and J. Kim, "Refining Background Subtraction using Consistent Motion Detection in Adverse Weather," *Journal of Electronic Imaging*, 28(2):020501, 2019.

- [40] A. A. Juri and A. B. Jambek, "Review on the latest H. 264 Motion Estimation Techniques," 2011 International Conference on Electronic Devices, Systems and Applications (ICEDSA), IEEE, pp. 199-202, 2011.
- [41] S. Kato, E. Takeuchi, Y. Ishiguro, Y. Ninomiya, K. Takeda, and T. Hamada, "An Open Approach to Autonomous Vehicles," *IEEE Micro*, 35(6):60-68, 2015.
- [42] M. Khayatian, Y. Lou, M. Mehrabian, and A. Shirvastava, "Crossroads+ a Time-Aware Approach for Intersection Management of Connected Autonomous Vehicles," ACM Transactions on Cyber-Physical Systems, 4(2):1-28, 2019.
- [43] G. Kioumourtzis, M. Skitsas, N. Zotos, and A. Sideris, "Wide Area Video Surveillane Based on Edge and Fog Computing Concept," 2017 8th International Conference on Information, Intelligence, Systems & Applications (IISA), IEEE, pp. 1-6, 2017.
- [44] G. I. Klas, "Fog Computing and Mobile Edge Cloud Gain Momentum Open Fog Consortium, ETSI MEC and Cloudlets," *Google Scholar*, 2015.
- [45] J. Koch and W. Hao, "An Empirical Study in Edge Computing using AWS," 2021 IEEE 11th Annual Computing and Communication Workshop and Conference (CCWC), IEEE, pp. 0542-0549, 2021.
- [46] E. Kristiani, C.-T. Yang, Y. T. Wang, and C.-Y. Huang, "Implementation of an Edge Computing Architecture using Openstack and Kubernetes," *International Conference on Information Science and Applications*, Springer, pp. 675-685, 2018.
- [47] L. Li, Y. Fan, M. Tse, and K.-Y. Lin, "A Review of Applications in Federated Learning," *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, p. 106854, 2020.
- [48] J. Li, J. Jin, D. Yuan, M. Palaniswami, and K. Moessner, "EHOPES: Data-Centered Fog Platform for Smart Living," 2015 International Telecommunication Networks and Applications Conference (ITNAC), IEEE, pp. 308-313, 2015.
- [49] W. Y. B. Lim, N. C. Luong, D. T. Hoang, Y. Jiao, Y-C. Liang, Q. Yang, D. Niyato, and C. Miao, "Federated Learning in Mobile Edge Networks: A Comprehensive Survey," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 22(3):2031-2063, 2020.
- [50] L. Lin, X. Liao, H. Jin, and P. Li, "Computation Offloading toward Edge Computing," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 107(8):1584-1607, 2019.
- [51] S. Liu, "Artificial Intelligence Software Market Revenue Worldwide 2018-2025," Ed, 2019.
- [52] S. Liu, L. Liu, J. Tang, B. Yu, Y. Wang, and W. Shi, "Edge Computing for Autonomous Driving: Opportunities and Challenges," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 107(8):1697-1716, 2019.
- [53] X. Liu, X. Wei, L. Guo, Y. Liu, Q. Song, and A. Jamalipour, "Turning the Signal Interference into Benefits: Towards Indoor Self-Powered Visible Light Communication for IoT Devices in Industrial Radio-Hostile Environments," *IEEE Access*, 7:24978-24989, 2019.
- [54] L. Liu, X. Zhang, Q. Zhang, A. Weinert, and Y. Wang,

"AutoVAPS: An IoT-Enabled Public Safety SDervice on Vehicles," *Proceedings of the Fourth Workshop on International Science of Smart City Operations & Platform Engineering*, pp. 41-47, 2019.

- [55] D. Lu, Z. Li, and D. Huang, "Platooning as a Service of Autonomous Vehicles," 2017 IEEE 18th International Symposium on A World of Wireless, Mobile and Multimedia Networks (WoWMoM), pp. 1-6, 2017.
- [56] P. Mach and Z. Becvar, "Mobile Edge Computing: A Survey on Architecture and Computation Offloading," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 19(3):1628-1656, 2017.
- [57] A. A. Mathews and A. Babu, "Automatic Number Plate Detection," Journal of Electronics and Communication Engineering (IOSR-JECE), 2:50-55, 2017, https://www.iosrjournals.org/iosr-jece/pages/ICRTEMvolume2.html, 2017.
- [58] Milestone-Systems, Smart Client System Requirements, Available: https://www.milestone sys.com/support/ toolsand-references/system-requirements/_2020.
- [59] P. Merolla, J. Arthur, F. Akopyan, N. Imam, R. Manohar, and D. S. Modha, "A Digital Neurosynaptic Core using Embedded Crossbar Memory with 45pJ per Spike in 45nm," 2011 IEEE Custom Integrated Circuits Conference (CICC), IEEE, pp. 1-4, 2011.
- [60] D. Minoli, K. Sohraby, and B. Occhiogrosso, "IoT Considerations, Requirements, and Architectures for Smart Buildings—Energy Optimization and Next-Generation Building Management Systems," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 4(1):269-283, 2017.
- [61] M. Najafi, K. Zhang, M. Sadoghi, and H.-A. Jacobsen, "Hardware Acceleration Landscape for Distributed Real-Time Analytics: Virtues and Limitations," 2017 IEEE 37th International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems (ICDCS), IEEE, pp. 1938-1948, 2017.
- [62] J. Niu, R. Fie, and J. Hu, "Mpeg-4 Video Encoder Based on DSP-FPGA Techniques," *Proceedings. 2005 International Conference on Communications, Circuits and Systems*, IEEE, 1:518-522, 2005.
- [63] ONVIF, ONVIF Mission. Available: https://www.onvif.org/about/mission/, 4th April, 2017.
- [64] P. Pääkkönen, D. Pakkala, J. Kiljander, and R. Sarala, "Architecture for Enabling Edge Inference via Model Transfer from Cloud Domain in a Kubernetes Environment," *Future Internet*, 13(1):5, 2021.
- [65] I. Parvez, A. Rahmati, I. Guvenc, A. I. Sarwat, and H. Dai, "A Survey on Low Latency Towards 5G: RAN, Core Network and Caching Solutions," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 20(4):3098-3130, 2018.
- [66] A. Pawloski, D. Pilone, J. Siarto, P. Pilone, T. Lang, and C. Fayock, "Improving Information and Communica-tions in a Disaster Scenario with AWS Snowball Edge," AGU Fall Meeting Abstracts, 2019:IN23B-09, 2019.
- [67] J. Peng and X. Liu, "Disease and Death Monitoring on Amazon Managed Streaming for Apache Kafka," Proceedings of the 2nd International Symposium on Artificial Intelligence for Medicine Sciences, pp. 24-27,

2021.

- [68] T. N. Pham, M.-F. Tsai, D. B. Nguyen, C.-R. Dow, and D.-J. Deng, "A Cloud-Based Smart-Parking System Based on Internet-of-Things Technologies," *IEEE Access*, 3:1581-1591, 2015.
- [69] V. Premkumar and V. Bhandari, "Ultra-Low Latency Video Streaming using Verizon 5G and AWS Wavelength," *International Journal of Management IT* and Engineering, 11(5):29-33, 2021.
- [70] J. L. Randall, "Security System with Maskable Motion Detection and Camera with an Adjustable Field of View," Ed: Google Patents, 2004.
- [71] K. Rao, G. Coviello, W.-P. Hsiung, and S. Chakradhar, "ECO: Edge-Cloud Optimization of 5G Applications," 2021 IEEE/ACM 21st International Symposium on Cluster, Cloud and Internet Computing (CCGrid), IEEE, pp. 649-659, 2021.
- [72] M. I. Razzak, S. Naz, and A. Zaib, "Deep Learning for Medical Image Processing: Overview, Challenges and the Future," *Classification in BioApps*, pp. 323-350, 2018.
- [73] A. B. Sada, M. A. Bouras, J. Ma, H. Runhe, and H. Ning, "A Distributed Video Analytics Architecture Based on Edge-Computing and Federated Learning," 2019 IEEE Intl Conf on Dependable, Autonomic and Secure Computing, IEEE, pp. 215-220, 2019.
- [74] M. A. Saleh, H. Hashim, N. M. Tahir, and E. Hisham, "Review for High Efficiency Video Coding (HEVC)," 2014 IEEE Conference on Systems, Process and Control (ICSPC 2014), IEEE, pp. 141-146, 2014.
- [75] M. Samatas, "From Thought Control to Traffic Control: CCTV Politics of Expansion and Resistance in post-Olympics Greece," *Sociology of Crime Law and Deviance*, 10:345-369, 2008.
- [76] A. Sammoud, A. Kumar, M. Bayoumi, and T. Elarabi, "Real-Time Streaming Challenges in Internet of Video Things (IoVT)," 2017 IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems (ISCAS), IEEE, pp. 1-4, 2017.
- [77] M. Satyanarayanan, P. Bahl, R. Caceres, and N. Davies, "The Case for VIN-Based Cloudlets in Mobile Computing," *IEEE Pervasive Computing*, 8(4):14-23, 2009.
- [78] N. Saxena, A. Roy, B. J. Sahu, and H. Kim, "Efficient IoT Gateway over 5G Wireless: A New Design with Prototype and Implementation Results," *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 55(2):97-105, 2017.
- [79] J. Schmidhuber, "Deep Learning in Neural Networks: An Overview," *Neural Networks*, 61:85-117, 2015.
- [80] D. Seckiner, X. Mallett, C. Roux, D. Meuwly, and P. Maynard, "Forensic Image Analysis–CCTV Distortion and Artifacts," *Forensic Science International*, 285:77-85, 2018.
- [81] K. Sehairi, F. Chouireb, and J. Meunier, "Comparative Study of Motion Detection Methods for Video Surveillance Systems," *Journal of Electronic Imaging*, 26(2):023025, 2017.
- [82] M. J. Shafiee, P. Siva, P. Fieguth, and A. Wong, "Real-Time Embedded Motion Detection via Neural Response

Mixture Modeling," *Journal of Signal Processing Systems*, 90(6):931-946, 2018.

- [83] W. Shi, G. Pallis, and Z. Xu, "Edge Computing [Scanning the Issue]," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 107(8):1474-1481, 2019.
- [84] Y. Shi and S. Lichman, "Smart Cameras: A Review," Proceedings of Citeseer, pp. 95-100, 2005
- [85] H.-C. Shih, "A Survey of Content-Aware Video Analysis for Sports," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems* for Video Technology, 28(5):1212-1231, 2017.
- [86] P. Spagnolo, P. L. Mazzeo, M. Leo, M. Nitti, E. Stella, and A. Distante, "On-Field Testing and Evaluation of a Goal-Line Technology System," in *Computer Vision in Sports*: Springer, pp. 67-90, 2014.
- [87] G. J. Sullivan, J.-R. Ohm, W.-J. Han, and T. Wiegand, "Overview of the High Efficiency Video Coding (HEVC) Standard," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, 22(12):1649-1668, 2012.
- [88] A. Sunyaev, "Fog and Edge Computing," Internet Computing, Springer, pp. 237-264, 2020.
- [89] T. Tan, M. Mrak, V. Baroncini, and N. Ramzan, "Report on HEVC Compression Performance Verification Testing," *Joint Collab. Team Video Coding (JCT-VC)*, 2014.
- [90] J. Tate, P. Beck, H. H. Ibarra, S. Kumaravel, and L. Miklas, *Introduction to Storage Area Networks*, IBM Redbooks, 2018.
- [91] V. Upadrista, "The IoT Standards Reference Model" *IoT Standards with Blockchain*: Springer, pp. 61-86, 2021.
- [92] A. Voulodimos, N. Doulamis, A. Doulamis, and E. Protopapadakis, "Deep Learning for Computer Vision: A Brief Review," *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 2018:4-6, 2018.
- [93] F. Wang, M. Zhang, X. Wang, X. Ma, and J. Liu, "Deep Learning for Edge Computing Applications: A State-ofthe-Art Survey," *IEEE Access*, 8:58322-58336, 2020.
- [94] B. C. Watson and K. M. Walsh, "The Road Safety Implications of Automatic Number Plate Recognition Technology (ANPR)," The Centre for Accident Research and Road Safety, Queensland, Available Online: https://eprints.qut.edu.au/13222/, accessed 16 January 2022
- [95] C. A. Williams, "Police Surveillance and the Emergence of CCTV in the 1960s," *Crime Prevention and Community Safety*, 5(3):27-37, 2003.
- [96] L. Wu, X. Du, W. Wang, and B. Lin, "An Out-of-Band Authentication Scheme for Internet of Things using Blockchain Technology," 2018 International Conference on Computing, Networking and Communications (ICNC), IEEE, pp. 769-773, 2018.
- [97] Y. Xiong, Y. Sun, L. Xing, and Y. Huang, "Extend Cloud to Edge with Kubeedge," 2018 IEEE/ACM Symposium on Edge Computing (SEC), IEEE, pp. 373-377, 2018.
- [98] R. Xu, R. Kumar, P. Wang, P. Bai, G. Meghanath, S. Chaterji, S. Mitra, and S. Bagchi, "ApproxNet: Content and Contention Aware Video Analytics System for the Edge," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.02068*, 2019.

- [99] X. Zhou, R. Canady, S. Bao, and A. Gokhale, "Cost-Effective Hardware Accelerator Recommendation for Edge Computing," 3rd {USENIX} Workshop on Hot Topics in Edge Computing (HotEdge 20), 2020.
- [100] X. Zou, "A Review of Object Detection Techniques," 2019 International Conference on Smart Grid and Electrical Automation (ICSGEA), IEEE, pp. 251-254, 2019.



Jeff McCann received his B.Sc. (Hons) from the Ulster University in Computer Science in 1994, and master's in project management from the University of Limerick in 2006. Jeff leads the global strategy for the Dell Technologies Customer Solution Centers, is an adjunct lecturer in IoT at the University of Limerick Electronics and Computer,

sits on the industrial advisory committee of the Confirm Industry 4.0 Science Foundation Ireland Research center, and provides input to numerous industry and standards bodies, including National Standards Association of Ireland (NSAI) IoT Architecture & Smart Cities Working Groups, Smart Limerick Leaders Council, IEEE BizOps for IoT, American Chamber in Ireland working group on Industry 4.0, and the Health Service Executive Digital Academy.



Liam Quinn received a BSc in Electrical Engineering from the Dublin Institute of Technology in 1979, a BSc in Computer Systems from the University of Limerick in 1982, an MSc in Computer Engineering from Boston University in 1990. Liam is a Sr Fellow at Dell Technologies where he leads the strategies for 5G and Edge computing. He served as an adjunct lecturer in

Electrical Engineering at the Dublin Institute of Technology, and in networking and mobile computing at the University of Texas and Texas State University. Liam is a board member of the Wi-Fi Alliance and is a published author in Networking and IoT in Smart Cities. He holds over 150 granted and pending US patents.



Sean McGrath received his MSC in Heriot-Watt University in 1986 and his PhD in 1992 from the University of Limerick in the area of indoor wireless data communication systems. He has worked in mobile communication research for the last twelve years. He lectures in Telecommunication systems and digital communication. He is a

member of the Committee of the IEEE Communications Chapter and IEEE Committee on Information Theory. He has presented and published a large number of research papers. His research interests include mobile communications, communication theory and its applications, and satellite systems.



Colin Flanagan received his B. Eng. (hons) from the National Institute for Higher Education, Limerick, in 1986. In 1988 he received an M. Eng. from the University of Limerick for work on computer architecture, and in 1991 his PhD, in the area of neural networks. He has worked in A.I. and networking research for the past thirty years, and has published extensively in conferences and refereed journals. His research

interests include the application of artificial intelligence and deep learning, particularly in medicine, computer architecture and quantum computing.